

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/649,942	08/28/2003	Abraham Damast	C6735.0001/P001	7144
7590 04/05/2005			EXAMINER	
Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky LLP			THOMAS, ALEXANDER S	
41 St Floor 1177 Avenue of the Americas			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10036-2714			1772	

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Off. 4 (1 O	10/649,942	DAMAST, ABRAHAM
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alexander Thomas	1772
 The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply 	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 M This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 13-20 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.7 and 13-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-6,8,19 and 20 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b)□ objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/649,942

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 13, 14, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ristell et al ('925). The reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed; see Figure 2 and page 1, right column, lines 38-55. However, it does not disclose the use of a fabric as the pleated article. In lines 37-48 of the right column on page 1 of the reference it is disclosed that any material can be used as the pleated material. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use any well-known material, such as fabric, as the pleated material in the reference depending on the desired structural and decorative properties of the article. The rivet 11 secures the ends of the pleats under compression and may also be considered a hanger opening in view of the aperture in the rivet.
- 3. Claims 1, 7, 13-16 and 18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dabney 1,533,396. The reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed, namely a pleated article held together by a fastening means; see Figure 4 and lines 25-46. However, it does not disclose the use of a fabric as the

Application/Control Number: 10/649,942

Art Unit: 1772

pleated article. In lines 30-31 of the reference it is disclosed that any material can be used as the pleated material. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use any well-known material, such as fabric, as the pleated material in the reference depending on the desired structural and decorative properties of the article.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2-6, 8, 19 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,942 Page 4

Art Unit: 1772

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ast

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Cleyandy S. Manuel